arXiv:quant-ph/0303054v1 10 Mar 2003

Quantum Vacuum Heuristics

Howard E. Brandt
U.S. Army Research Laboratory
Adelphi, MD
hbrandt@arl.army.mil

January 27, 2003

Abstract

Didactic heuristic arguments, based on the quantum mechanics of the vacuum and the structure of spacetime, are reviewed concerning particle creation from the vacuum by an electric field, vacuum radiation in an accelerated frame, black-hole radiation, minimum mass black holes, spacetime breakdown, maximal proper acceleration, the spacetime tangent bundle, and intrinsic Planck-scale regularization of quantum fields.

1 INTRODUCTION

During a break at the *First Feynman Festival* [1], Marlan Scully cornered me with a technical question concerning the Bogoliubov transformation connecting modes of a quantum field in the derivation of the Hawking radiation from a black hole. In the course of our discussions, I offered him some heuristic arguments concerning Hawking radiation, and which I had found to be useful in motivating related ideas based on the quantum mechanics of the vacuum and the structure of spacetime [2-20]. To my considerable satisfaction, Marlan then invited me to talk about this at the *33rd Winter Colloquium on the Physics of Quantum Electronics* [21]. The present paper documents that talk.

2 PAIR PRODUCTION FROM THE VACUUM

In 1950, Schwinger showed that the probability per unit time and per unit volume of electron-positron pair production from the vacuum by an external electric field E is given by [22]

$$\frac{d^4P}{dtd^3r} = \left(\frac{e^2E^2}{\pi^2\hbar^2c}\right)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{e^{-nE_c/E}}{n^2}, \tag{1}$$

where e is the charge of the electron, $\hbar$ is Planck's constant divided by 2π, c is the speed of light, dtd^3r is an infinitesimal volume element of spacetime, and the characteristic field E_c, for which copious pair production occurs, is

$$E_c = \frac{\pi m^2c^3}{e\hbar}, \tag{2}$$

where m is the the mass of the electron.

The characteristic field E_c can also be estimated by simple heuristic arguments [4,23] involving the quantum mechanics of the vacuum. By the time-energy uncertainty principle, virtual electron-positron pairs of mass m occur in the vacuum fluctuation during a time

$$\Delta t \sim \frac{\hbar}{\Delta E} \sim \frac{\hbar}{2mc^2}, \tag{3}$$

where ΔE is the energy uncertainty occurring during the time Δt. The limiting velocity of light allows this to occur over a distance

$$\Delta x \sim c\Delta t \sim \frac{\hbar}{2mc}, \tag{4}$$

of the order of the Compton wavelength of the electron. If during the time Δt, an external electric field E acts with force eE on each of the virtual particles over the distance Δx, doing work

$$(eE)\,(\hbar/2mc) \sim mc^2, \tag{5}$$

thereby depositing energy mc^2, then the particle can become real. Solving Eq. (5) for the electric field, one obtains the approximate characteristic field,

$$E \sim \frac{2m^2c^3}{e\hbar} \sim E_c = \frac{\pi m^2c^3}{e\hbar}, \tag{6}$$

to be compared with Eq. (2).

3 UNRUH RADIATION

If instead of the electric force acting on the vacuum, one analogously considers the inertial force ma acting on each virtual particle of mass m in the vacuum in a frame with proper acceleration a, one has, analogous to the argument in Section 2 [4,24],

$$(ma)\,(\hbar/2mc) \sim E \sim mc^2, \tag{7}$$

where E is now the energy of the created particle. (In Minkowski spacetime, the proper acceleration of a particle is the acceleration in its instantaneous rest frame.) Solving Eq. (7) for a, one obtains

$$a \;\sim\; \frac{2mc^3}{\hbar} \tag{8}$$

for the characteristic proper acceleration at which copious production of particles of mass m from the vacuum will occur. Furthermore, if one takes the energy of the created particles to be thermally distributed with temperature T, as in a relativistic gas, then Eq. (7) becomes

$$(ma)\,(\hbar/2mc) \sim\; 3kT. \tag{9}$$

Solving Eq. (9) for T, one obtains

$$T \;\sim\; \frac{\hbar a}{6kc} \;\sim\; T_u = \frac{\hbar a}{2\pi kc}, \tag{10}$$

which approximates the Davies-Unruh temperature T_u of the vacuum in an accelerated frame with proper acceleration a [25-27]. The radiated particles constitute the Unruh radiation from the vacuum.

For the case of massless particles of frequency $\omega/2\pi$ and energy $\hbar\omega$, Eq. (3) is replaced by

$$\Delta t \;\sim\; \frac{\hbar}{\Delta E} \;\sim\; \frac{\hbar}{2\hbar\omega} \;\sim\; \frac{1}{2\omega}. \tag{11}$$

It then follows that Eq. (4) is replaced by

$$\Delta x \;\sim\; c\Delta t \;\sim\; \frac{c}{2\omega} \;\sim\; \frac{\lambda}{4\pi}, \tag{12}$$

where λ is the wavelength of each particle. Since the effective relativistic inertial mass of each particle is $\hbar\omega/c^2$, and the distance over which the inertial

force can act is given by Eq. (12), it follows that, for massless particles, Eq. (9) is to be replaced by [4,24]

$$\left[\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{c^2}\right)a\right]\left(\frac{\lambda}{4\pi}\right) \sim 3kT. \tag{13}$$

Next substituting the massless particle dispersion relation,

$$\omega = \frac{2\pi c}{\lambda}, \tag{14}$$

in Eq. (14), one again obtains

$$T \sim \frac{\hbar a}{6kc}, \tag{15}$$

as in Eq. (10).

4 HAWKING RADIATION

Next consider a Schwarzschild black hole [2]. The radius of the event horizon of the black hole is given by its Schwarzschild radius,

$$R = \frac{2GM}{c^2}, \tag{16}$$

where M is the the mass of the black hole, and G is the universal gravitational constant. The acceleration due to gravity at the event horizon is well known to be

$$a_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{00}}}\left(\frac{GM}{R^2}\right), \tag{17}$$

where g_{00} is the time-time component of the Schwarzschild metric tensor. Next invoking the equivalence principle, and using Eqs. (10), (16), and (17), one obtains

$$T_R \sim \frac{\hbar a_R}{6kc} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{00}}}\left(\frac{\hbar c^3}{24kGM}\right) \tag{18}$$

for the radiation temperature at the event horizon. To obtain the temperature T_∞ measured by a distant observer (at infinity), one next invokes the Tolman relation [28] which requires that $\sqrt{g_{00}}\,T$ be constant at every point

in an equilibrium spacetime for proper temperature T, and since $g_{00} = 1$ at infinity, one obtains

$$T_\infty = \sqrt{g_{00}}\, T_R. \tag{19}$$

Then substituting Eq. (18) in Eq. (19), one obtains [2]

$$T_\infty \sim \frac{\hbar c^3}{24kGM} \sim T_H = \frac{\hbar c^3}{8\pi kGM}, \tag{20}$$

the temperature T_H of the black hole due to its Hawking radiation [29]. [The exact equality for T_H also follows from the equality in Eq. (10), together with Eqs. (17) and (19) [2].]

Another process results from tidal forces, and also yields the same temperature of the black hole. This might have been anticipated long ago because Schrödinger had argued, already in 1939, that spacetime curvature (which produces tidal forces) can cause particle production [30]. For the Schwarzschild black hole, the work done by the tidal forces acting on a particle pair, for a time allowed by the time-energy uncertainty principle, and during which pair creation can occur, can be approximated by

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{rr}}}\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{-GMm}{\sqrt{g_{00}}r^2}\right)\left(\sqrt{g_{rr}}\frac{\hbar}{2mc}\right)\right]\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g_{rr}}\frac{\hbar}{2mc}\right)\\ \sim \frac{1}{g_{00}}\left[\left(\frac{GMm}{r^3}\right)\left(\frac{\hbar}{2mc}\right)\right]\left(\frac{\hbar}{2mc}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

since for the Schwarzschild metric $g_{rr} = 1/g_{00}$. Evaluating Eq. (21) at the Schwarzschild radius, Eq. (16), and equating this work to the rest energy $2mc^2$ of the created pair, one has

$$\frac{1}{g_{00}}\left\{\left[\frac{GMm}{\left\{\frac{2GM}{c^2}\right\}^3}\right]\left(\frac{\hbar}{2mc}\right)\right\}\left(\frac{\hbar}{2mc}\right) \sim\ 2mc^2. \tag{22}$$

Solving Eq. (22) for the particle rest energy mc^2, and taking it again to be thermal, one obtains

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{00}}}\left(\frac{\hbar c^3}{8GM}\right)\ \sim\ mc^2\ \sim\ 3kT_R. \tag{23}$$

Therefore, the temperature at the event horizon is

$$T_R\ \sim\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{00}}}\left(\frac{\hbar c^3}{24kGM}\right), \tag{24}$$

and again invoking the Tolman relation, Eq. (19), one again obtains Eq. (20).

5 SPACETIME BREAKDOWN

One might ask, on the basis of Eq. (8), for what proper acceleration a would copious production of black hole pairs from the vacuum occur [2,4,31,32]. If the created particles are to be black holes, then their Schwarzschild radius, Eq. (16), must exceed their extent (Compton wavelength), given by Eq. (4), and thus one has

$$\frac{2Gm}{c^2} \geq \frac{\hbar}{2mc}, \tag{25}$$

and therefore

$$m \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\hbar c}{G}\right)^{1/2}. \tag{26}$$

The minimum value of the mass for which the created particle is a black hole is therefore

$$m_0 \sim \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\hbar c}{G}\right)^{1/2}, \tag{27}$$

which is of the order of the Planck mass. Thus letting the mass in Eq. (8) be m_0, one obtains

$$a_0 \ \sim \ \frac{2m_0c^3}{\hbar}. \tag{28}$$

In a frame with proper acceleration given by Eq. (28), copious production of black holes from the vacuum would occur, resulting in breakdown of the classical spacetime structure due to the drastic alteration of its topology [4,31]. [As argued in Section 7, each created black hole of mass m_0 corresponds to one unit of topology per Planck volume.]

6 MAXIMAL PROPER ACCELERATION

Substituting Eq. (27) in Eq. (28), one obtains [2]

$$a_0 \ = \ 2\pi\alpha\left(\frac{c^7}{\hbar G}\right)^{1/2}, \tag{29}$$

where α is a number of order unity that can be shown to follow from string theory [5]. It is compelling to define a_0, given by Eq. (29), as the universal maximum possible proper acceleration relative to the vacuum because, for that value of the proper acceleration, spacetime would break down due to

copious black hole production, and the very concept of acceleration would have no meaning because of the resulting complex topological structure.

At maximal proper acceleration, Eq. (29), the temperature of the vacuum Unruh radiation, Eq. (10), becomes [2,4,5]

$$T_{\max} = \frac{\hbar a_0}{2\pi k c} = \frac{\alpha}{k}\left(\frac{\hbar c^5}{G}\right)^{1/2} = T_{\rm S}, \tag{30}$$

where $T_{\rm S}$ is the Sakharov temperature, the maximum possible temperature of anything [2,4,33]. In 1966 Sakharov, using heuristic arguments involving the equation of state of black-body radiation at the extreme density of one quantum per Planck volume, concluded that this temperature (of the order of the Planck temperature) is the maximum possible temperature of thermal radiation, or anything else in equilibrium with it [33].

It can also be shown that at maximal proper acceleration a_0, the string vacuum becomes unstable, the functional integral expressing the response of a particle detector becomes singular, and any attempt to increase the acceleration above a_0 simply results in string lengthening, with the proper acceleration remaining at a_0, and the temperature remaining at the Sakharov temperature $T_{\rm S}$ [5,34-37]. The maximal proper acceleration, Eq. (29) was first obtained in 1982 by the author by directly equating the Davies-Unruh temperature, the temperature of the vacuum in an accelerated frame, to the Sakharov temperature, the maximum possible temperature of thermal radiation [2].

7 MINIMUM-MASS BLACK HOLE

Equations (20) and (30) also suggest a minimum possible mass of a black hole, namely that of a black hole at the Sakharov temperature [2],

$$M_0 = \frac{1}{8\pi\alpha}\left(\frac{\hbar c}{G}\right)^{1/2}, \tag{31}$$

which is of of the order of the Planck mass, consistent with Eq. (27). The corresponding minimum radius of the event horizon of a black hole follows from Eqs. (16) and (31), namely,

$$R_0 = \frac{2GM_0}{c^2} = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha}\left(\frac{\hbar G}{c^3}\right)^{1/2}, \tag{32}$$

which is of the order of the Planck length. Thus, each minimum-mass black hole corresponds to one unit of topology [38] per Planck volume [2]. Also, for the minimum entropy of a black hole (with the area of its event horizon expressed in Planck units),

$$S_0 = \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{4\pi R_0^2}{\hbar G/c^3}\right)k, \tag{33}$$

one obtains, by substituting Eq. (32) in Eq. (33), the value,

$$S_0 = \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha^2}\right)k = \left(\frac{1}{16\pi\alpha^2}\right)k, \tag{34}$$

which is of the order of Boltzmann's constant.

8 MINIMUM RADIUS OF CURVATURE OF WORLDLINES

According to the differential geometry of spacetime, the proper acceleration, a, of a particle on a world line in curved spacetime is given by [4]

$$a^2 = -c^4 g_{\mu\nu}\frac{Dv^\mu}{ds}\frac{Dv^\nu}{ds}. \tag{35}$$

In Eq. (35), $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric tensor of spacetime, the four-velocity v^μ of the particle is given by

$$v^\mu = \frac{dx^\mu}{ds}, \tag{36}$$

and $\frac{Dv^\mu}{ds}$ denotes the covariant derivative of the four-velocity with respect to the interval along the particle's worldline in spacetime, namely,

$$\frac{Dv^\mu}{ds} = \frac{dv^\mu}{ds} + \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta, \tag{37}$$

where $\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}$ is the affine connection, and

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu, \tag{38}$$

is the line element of spacetime. The radius of curvature of the worldline is

$$\rho = \frac{c^2}{a}. \tag{39}$$

It then follows from Eqs. (29) and (39) that since a_0 is the maximum possible value of a, the minimum radius of curvature of world lines is given by [39]

$$\rho_0 = \frac{c^2}{a_0} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha}\left(\frac{\hbar G}{c^3}\right)^{1/2}, \tag{40}$$

which is of the order of the Planck length.

In earlier work, the author has explored some of the differential geometric implications of the limiting acceleration, Eq. (29) and the minimum radius of curvature of worldlines, Eq. (40). This has included extensive analyses of the differential geometric structure of the tangent bundle of spacetime [3-20].

9 SPACETIME TANGENT BUNDLE

It is argued in Section 6 that a_0, given by Eq. (29), is the universal maximum possible proper acceleration of anything relative to the vacuum. Hence, for any proper acceleration a, one requires

$$a^2 \leq a_0^2. \tag{41}$$

Then substituting Eqs. (35) and (37) in Eq. (41), one obtains

$$-c^4 g_{\mu\nu}\left(\frac{dv^\mu}{ds} + \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha v^\beta\right)\left(\frac{dv^\nu}{ds} + \Gamma^\nu_{\lambda\delta}v^\lambda v^\delta\right) \leq a_0^2. \tag{42}$$

Next substituting Eqs. (36), (40), and (38) in Eq. (42), one obtains [4,40]

$$d\sigma^2 \equiv g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + \rho_0^2 g_{\mu\nu}\left(dv^\mu + \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}v^\alpha dx^\beta\right)\left(dv^\nu + \Gamma^\nu_{\lambda\delta}v^\lambda dx^\delta\right) \geq 0. \tag{43}$$

Equation (43) defines the eight-dimensional quadratic form $d\sigma^2$, which is nonnegative along a worldline. The inequality, Eq. (43), simply expresses the fact that the proper acceleration of any object can never exceed the maximal proper acceleration. By analogy with the construction of the spacetime line element of general relativity from the limiting speed of light, it is natural to take $d\sigma^2$ to be the line element in the tangent bundle of spacetime, in which the spacetime coordinates x^μ are the coordinates in the spacetime base manifold, and the four-velocity coordinates $\rho_0 v^\mu$ (modulo a factor of ρ_0) are the tangent space coordinates.

The bundle line element, Eq. (43) can be rewritten as follows [4-7,9,40]:

$$d\sigma^2 = G_{MN} dx^M dx^N, \qquad \{M, N = 1, 2, ...8\}, \tag{44}$$

where the bundle coordinates are

$$\left\{x^M\right\} \equiv \left\{x^\mu, \rho_0 v^\mu\right\}, \qquad \{M = 1, 2, ...8;\ \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3\}, \tag{45}$$

and the metric of the tangent bundle of spacetime is

$$G_{MN} = \begin{bmatrix} g_{\mu\nu} + g_{\alpha\beta} A^\alpha{}_\mu A^\beta{}_\nu & A_{n\mu} \\ A_{m\nu} & g_{mn} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{46}$$

where

$$A^\mu{}_\nu = \rho_0 v^\lambda \Gamma^\mu{}_{\lambda\nu}. \tag{47}$$

The bundle metric G_{MN}, given by Eq. (46), has a structure similar to that of an eight-dimensional Kaluza-Klein gauge theory in which the higher dimensions are in four-velocity space, and $A^\mu{}_\nu$ is the gauge potential. Equations (44)-(47) served as the starting point for investigating possible implications of a limiting proper acceleration for the differential geometric structure of the tangent bundle of spacetime [3-20].

10 PLANCK-SCALE REGULARIZATION OF QUANTUM FIELDS

One implication of the limiting proper acceleration, which the author recently began to explore is an intrinsic regularization of quantum fields at the Planck scale [15-20]. In this work, a scalar quantum field is defined on the spacetime tangent bundle with vanishing eigenvalue when acted on by the invariant Laplace-Beltrami operator defined on the bundle. For the case of a Minkowski spacetime in the base manifold of the spacetime tangent bundle, it follows that the scalar quantum field is given by [15-20]

$$\phi(x, v) = 2 \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{3/2} \left(2p^0 N\right)^{1/2}} \left[e^{-ipx/\hbar} e^{-\rho_0 pv/\hbar} \theta\left(\rho_0 pv/\hbar\right) a(p) \right.$$
$$\left. + e^{ipx/\hbar} e^{\rho_0 pv/\hbar} \theta\left(-\rho_0 pv/\hbar\right) a^\dagger(p) \right], \tag{48}$$

where p denotes the familiar particle four-momentum $p^\mu = \{p^0, \mathbf{p}\}$; x denotes the spacetime coordinates $x^\mu = \{x^0, \mathbf{x}\}$; v denotes the four-velocity coordinates $v^\mu = \{v^0, \mathbf{v}\}$; ρ_0 is given by Eq. (40); θ is the Heaviside step function; N is an appropriate normalization factor that approaches unity in the mathematical limit of infinite maximal proper acceleration; and $a^\dagger(p)$ and $a(p)$ are the familiar particle creation and annihilation operators. In the mathematical limit of infinite maximal proper acceleration, the scalar field, Eq. (48), has the same form as a canonical Lorentz-invariant scalar quantum field (as it must). It can be shown that both the positive and negative frequency terms in Eq. (48) are proportional to [15-20]

$$e^{-\rho_0|pv|/\hbar} = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha}\frac{\gamma m}{m_{pl}}\left(\left[1+\left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{mc}\right)^2\right]^{1/2} - \frac{\mathbf{p}\cdot d\mathbf{x}/dt}{mc^2}\right)\right], \tag{49}$$

where m is the particle mass;

$$m_{pl} = \left(\frac{\hbar c}{G}\right)^{1/2} \tag{50}$$

is the Planck mass; $d\mathbf{x}/dt$ denotes the velocity coordinates for the quantum field in the tangent space; and

$$\gamma = \left[1 - \frac{|d\mathbf{x}/dt|^2}{c^2}\right]^{-1/2}. \tag{51}$$

Thus the quantum field is intrinsically regularized at the Planck scale, and elementary particle excitations exceeding the Planck energy are exponentially suppressed. This suppression is evidently not inconsistent with the arguments of Sections 5 and 6.

11 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank Marlan Scully for inviting him to present this paper at the *33rd Winter Colloquium on the Physics of Quantum Electronics*, held 5-9 January 2003 in Snowbird, Utah. This work was supported in part by the U.S. Army Research Laboratory.

12 REFERENCES

[1] *First Feynman Festival*, 23-27 August 2002, Physics Department, University of Maryland, College Park, MD.

[2] H. E. Brandt, Lett. Nuovo Cim. **38**, 522 (1983); **39**, 192 (1984).

[3] H. E. Brandt, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **6**, 367 (1989).

[4] H. E. Brandt, Found. Phys. Lett. **2**, 39, 405 (1989).

[5] H. E. Brandt, Found. Phys. Lett. **4**, 523 (1991).

[6] H. E. Brandt, Found. Phys. **21**, 1285 (1991).

[7] H. E. Brandt, Found. Phys. Lett. **5**, 43 (1992).

[8] H. E. Brandt, Found. Phys. Lett. **5**, 221 (1992).

[9] H. E. Brandt, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **31**, 575 (1992).

[10] H. E. Brandt, Found. Phys. Lett. **5**, 315 (1992).

[11] H. E. Brandt, Found. Phys. Lett. **6**, 245 (1993).

[12] H. E. Brandt, Found. Phys. Lett. **6**, 339 (1993).

[13] H. E. Brandt, Found. Phys. Lett. **7**, 297 (1994).

[14] H. E. Brandt, Contemp. Math. **196**, 273 (1996).

[15] H. E. Brandt, Found. Phys. Lett. **11**, 265 (1998).

[16] H. E. Brandt, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals **10**, 267 (1999).

[17] H. E. Brandt, Found. Phys. Lett. **13**, 307 (2000).

[18] H. E. Brandt, Found. Phys. Lett. **13**, 581, (2000).

[19] Rep. Math. Phys. **45**, 389 (2000).

[20] H. E. Brandt, "Finslerian Fields," in *Finslerian Geometries: A Meeting of Minds*, P. L. Antonelli, ed. (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2000) pp. 131-138.

[21] *33rd Winter Colloquium on the Physics of Quantum Electronics*, 5-9 January 2003, Snowbird, Utah.

[22] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **82**, 664 (1951).

[23] V. L. Ginzburg, *Key Problems of Physics and Astrophysics*, MIR Publishers, Moscow (1978), p.77.

[24] H. E. Brandt, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **27**, 538 (1982); **28**, 722 (1983).

[25] P. C. W. Davies, J. Phys. A **8**, 609 (1975).

[26] W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 870 (1976).

[27] N. D. Birrell and P. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space,* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.

[28] R. C. Tolman, *Relativity, Thermodynamics and Cosmology, Dover, NY (1987), pp. 312-319.*

[29] S. W. Hawking, Nature (London) **24**, 30 (1974); Commun. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975).

[30] E. Schrödinger, Physica (Utrecht) **6**, 899 (1939).

[31] H. E. Brandt, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **30**, 341 (1985).

[32] H. E. Brandt, "Maximal-Acceleration Invariant Phase Space," in *The Physics of Phase Space*, Y. S. Kim and W. W. Zachary, eds. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987) pp. 414-416.

[33] A. D. Sakharov, JETP Lett. **3**, 388 (1966).

[34] N. Sakai, "Hawking Radiation in String Theories," in *Particles and Nuclei*, H. Terazawa, ed. (World Scientific, Singapore, 1986) pp. 286-296.

[35] R. Parentini and R. Potting, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 945 (1989).

[36] M. J. Bowick and S. B. Giddings, Nucl. Phys. B **325**, 631 (1989).

[37] S. B. Giddings, Phys. Lett. B **226**, 55 (1989).

[38] S. W. Hawking, Nucl. Phys. B **144**, 349 (1978).

[39] H. E. Brandt, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **29**, 651 (1984).

[40] H. E. Brandt, "The Maximal Acceleration Group," in *XIIIth International Colloquium on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics*, W. W. Zachary, ed. (World Scientific, Singapore, 1984) pp. 519-522.